



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/806,941	05/21/2001	Ola Olofsson	TPP 31386	9543

7590
01/30/2004
Thomas P Pavelko
Suite 850
1615 L Street NW
Washington, DC 20036

EXAMINER

A, PHI DIEU TRAN

ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
----------	--------------

3637

DATE MAILED: 01/30/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary

Application No.

09/806,941

Applicant(s)

OLOFSSON ET AL.

Examiner

Phi D A

Art Unit

3637

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
- Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 03 November 2003.
- 2a) ☒ This action is **FINAL**. 2b) ☐ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 1-7, 11-14 and 20-24 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 1-7, 11-14, 20-24 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on _____ is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.
- Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
- Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120

- 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:
1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).
- * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.
- 13) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application) since a specific reference was included in the first sentence of the specification or in an Application Data Sheet. 37 CFR 1.78.
- a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.
- 14) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121 since a specific reference was included in the first sentence of the specification or in an Application Data Sheet. 37 CFR 1.78.

Attachment(s)

- 1) ☐ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)
- 2) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)
- 3) ☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) _____
- 4) ☐ Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) _____
- 5) ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)
- 6) ☐ Other: _____

Art Unit: 3637

1. PRODUCT BY PROCESS CLAIM:

“ The subject matter present in claim is regarded as a product by process claim in which a product is introduced by the method in which it is made. It is the general practice of this office to examine the final product described regardless of the method provided by the applicant.”

This policy applies to the rejection of claim 6 below.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

2. Claims 1-5, 7, 11-12, 20-21 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Svenska (SE8202375-5) in view of Glover et al (5054256) and Larrea (4435935).

Svenska shows a vertical joined flooring material comprising floor boards (1) with edges, a lower side (9) and a decorative top surface, a groove (8) formed in the edge below the decorative surface, the floor boards being intended to be vertically joined by means of separate joining profiles (4), at least one of the edges is provided with at least one groove (8) which groove is arranged parallel to its respective edge and that the joining profiles are provided with lips (11) arranged in pairs, the lips are intended to be received by the at least one groove of a respective floor board so that adjacent floor boards with the grooves at the adjacent edges are guided and fixed horizontally by the lips of the joining profiles, the lips are connected to each other by a middle section (4) of the joining profile, the groove of the floor board is on the lower side and is arranged at a distance from the closest edge (figure 1, the width is along the edge 9 or

Art Unit: 3637

transverse to it) less than half of the width of a floor board, the floor boards are provided with a groove/notch (7) at the edges and the distance between each groove and the closes edge is about the same (inherently so as the edge meets at the center 4 of the profile), the distance between a center of one lip to a center of the second lip of the joining profile is less than the distance between a center of one groove on a first board to a center of a second groove on an adjacent board, the grooves on the lower side are arranged at a distance from the closes edge less than one quarter of the width of the floor board, the top surface of the floor board is flush with the top surface of an adjacent floor board (figure 1).

Svenska does not show the joining profile and the lips when inserted into the groove does not extend beyond the lower side, the joining profile is provided with a central cheek section which is comprised by a first and second independently resilient cheeks which cheeks are provided with one tongue each whereby the tongues are intended to be received by one notch each so that the adjacent floor boards are guided in a vertical direction.

Svenska further shows a central cheek having tongues (12) whereby the tongues are intended to be received by notches (7) so that the adjacent floorboards are guided in a vertical direction.

Glover et al (figures 5c, 7) shows a profile provided with a central cheek section which is comprised by a first and second independently resilient cheeks (104, 105) which are cheeks are provided with one tongue (106) each whereby the tongues are intended to be received by one notch each so that the adjacent floor boards are built in a vertical direction.

Art Unit: 3637

Larrea shows a joining profile (4, figure 1) joining two wall boards together with lips, the joining profile and lips when inserted into the grooves (11) does not extend beyond the lower side of the panel to enable the panels to be stably supported along their major surfaces.

It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to modify Svenska to show the joining profile and the lips when inserted into the groove does not extend beyond the lower side as taught by Larrea, the joining profile is provided with a central cheek section which is comprised by a first and second independently resilient cheeks which cheeks are provided with one tongue each whereby the tongues are intended to be received by one notch each so that the adjacent floor boards are guided in a vertical direction as taught by Glover et al because having the profile not extending beyond the lower surface of the panels would enable the panels to be stably supported along their major surface as taught by Larrea, and having the central cheek section with first and second resilient cheeks would enable the easy snap fitting of the tongues into the notches as taught by Glover et al.

Per claim 4, Svenska as modified by Larrea inherently shows the part of the floorboard located between each edge and its respective groove is thinner than the maximum thickness of the floorboard by means of a recess located on the located side per the teaching of Larrea to have the profile not extending below the lower side.

Per claim 7, Svenska as modified shows all the claimed limitations except for the joining profiles being partially coated with glue or adhesive tape.

It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to modify Svenska's modified structure to show the joining profiles being partially

Art Unit: 3637

coated with glue or adhesive tape because it would ensure the joining of the floorboards to the joining profiles.

Per claim 12, Svenska as modified shows the lower sides of the floorboard being flush with the joining profile.

Per claim 20, Svenska as modified shows all the claimed limitations except for the upper surface of the floor boards having a shape selected from the group consisting of square, rhombus and rectangular.

It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to modify Svenska's modified structure to show the upper surface of the floor boards having a shape selected from the group consisting of square, rhombus and rectangular because square, rhombus, rectangular are well known board shapes in the art.

Per claim 21, Svenska as modified shows all the claimed limitations except for the floorboards being partially coated with glue.

It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to modify Svenska's modified structure to show the floorboards being partially coated with glue because it would ensure the joining of the floorboards to the joining profiles.

3. Claims 6, 13-14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Svenska (SE8202375-5) in view of Glover et al (5054256).

Svenska shows a joining profile comprising two upstanding lips (11) extending in the same direction, disposed at opposite ends of and perpendicular to a planar longitudinal extending middle section (4) having a midpoint such that the middle section terminates with the upstanding lips, a central cheek section (4) location substantially at the midpoint of the middle section, a

Art Unit: 3637

cheek extending in the same direction as the lips (11) and not below the middle section, the cheek having first and second tongues (12) extending perpendicular to with respect to the cheek, the profile being formed from an elastic thermoplastic material.

Svenska does not show the central cheek being first and second resilient cheeks.

Glover et al (figure 7) shows a central cheek being first and second resilient cheeks (104, 105).

It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to modify Svenska to show the central cheek being first and second resilient cheeks because having first and second resilient cheeks in place of a single cheek would enable the easy snap fitting of the tongues into the notches as taught by Glover et al.

Per claim 14, Svenska as modified by Glover et al shows the cheeks being separated by a space large enough to permit deflection of one of the first and second cheeks without contacting the other of the first and second cheeks.

4. Claims 22-, 24 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Svenska (SE8202375-5) in view of Glover et al (5054256).

Svenska as modified shows all the claimed limitations except for the material being an extruded thermoplastic material, or the material being an injection molding material.

It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to modify Svenska's modified structure to show the material being an extruded thermoplastic material, or the material being an injection molding material because extrusion and injection molding plastic material are well known material in the profile art as the material is light weight and rust resistant.

Art Unit: 3637

5. Claim 23 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Svenska (SE8202375-5) in view of Glover et al (5054256).

Svenska as modified shows all the claimed limitations except for the material being a polyolefin.

It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to modify Svenska's modified structure to show the material being a polyolefin because it has been held to be within the general skill of a worker in the art to select a known material on the basis of its suitability for the intended use as a matter of obvious design choice, In re Leshin, 125 USPQ 416.

Response to Arguments

6. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-7,11-14,20-24 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Conclusion

7. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period

Art Unit: 3637

will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Phi D A whose telephone number is 703-306-9136. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Lanna Mai can be reached on 703-308-2486. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703-872-9306 for regular communications and 703-872-9306 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-1113.

Phi Dieu Tran A
January 23, 2004

PA

LANNA MAI
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 3600

Lanna Mai